

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
AND CONSERVATION

GREG GIANFORTE, GOVERNOR

1539 ELEVENTH AVENUE

## STATE OF MONTANA

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE: (406) 444-2074  
FAX: (406) 444-2684PO BOX 201601  
HELENA, MONTANA 59620-1601**DECISION MEMO  
CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION**

Canal Flow Monitoring Enhancement  
January 2021  
Fort Shaw Irrigation District  
47.5118, -112.0727 & 47.4989, -111.7988  
Cascade

**PURPOSE AND NEED**

Fort Shaw Irrigation District (FSID) located in the Sun River Basin, Cascade County, Montana and involves the irrigation of agricultural crops on approximately 13,000 acres on 117 small farms. The project was originally completed in 1908 with a small rehabilitation program in completed in 1961.

FSID is proposing to install 2 new gaging stations within the District's supply and distribution system. Each installation requires the fabrication of the gage support structure off site. The installation sites located, one each, at the main headworks of the Fort Shaw main canal and along Canal C and will involve placement of the support structures and then the gage affixed to the metal frame. The existing gage at the headworks is not accurate at its current location and needs to be relocated and the Canal C does not currently have flow monitoring in place. The addition of flow monitoring capabilities will allow FSID to account for all water diverted into the distribution system and increase the accuracy of delivered water upon request along Canal C.

FSID will complete this project between January 2022 and September 30, 2023.

The "Canal Flow Monitoring Enhancement" will improve water management through the addition of two canal flow gages. This project will improve a crucial FSID need to get a better handle on the amount of water diverted, delivered, and wasted. The data gained from the new flow monitoring equipment will assist FSID in prioritizing additional infrastructure improvements that can further reduce water losses and shortages and increase timely water deliveries.

**Explanation of the decision(s) that must be made regarding the proposed action (i.e. approve grant or loan and provide funding):**

DNRC will approve the grant to provide funding for the Canal Flow Monitoring Enhancement Project.

DNRC is not required to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for actions that qualify for a CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (ARM 36.17.614) or justified by a PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW; or are ACTIONS OF A SPECIAL NATURE (ARM 36.2.523(5)); or are EMERGENCIES (ARM 36.2.539). These actions are subject to review for EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES that would require an EA or an EIS.

**ACTIONS OF SPECIAL NATURE (ARM 36.2.523)**

☐ Administrative actions: routine, clerical or similar functions of a department, including but not limited to administrative procurement, contracts for consulting services, and personnel actions.

☐ Minor repairs, operations, or maintenance of existing equipment or facilities.

☐ Investigation and enforcement: data collection, inspection of facilities or enforcement of environmental standards.

☐ Ministerial actions: actions in which the agency exercises no discretion, but rather acts upon a given state of facts in a prescribed manner.

☐ Actions that are primarily social or economic in nature and that do not otherwise affect the human environment.

**CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION/PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW**

☒ Categorical Exclusion (CE) refers to a type of action which does not individually, collectively, or cumulatively require an EA or EIS, as determined by rulemaking or programmatic review adopted by the agency, unless extraordinary circumstances, as defined by rulemaking or programmatic review, occur. This project qualifies under ARM 36.17.614 CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS.

☐ Programmatic review means an analysis (EIS or EA) of the impacts on the quality of the human environment of related actions, programs, or policies. DNRC – CARDD does not have any programmatic reviews completed at the time of this template.

The project listed above meets the definition of Actions of a Special Nature, Categorical Exclusion or Programmatic Review including specified conditions and Extraordinary Circumstances. Included below is a supplemental checklist verifying the use of the Categorical Exclusion.


<b>Prepared By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Ann L. Kulczyk <b>Title:</b> Program Manager <b>Email:</b> akulczyk@mt.gov	<b>Date:</b> January 20, 2022
---------------------	---	-------------------------------

<b>Approved By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Mark Bostrom <b>Title:</b> CARD Division Administrator
---------------------	--

<b>Signature:</b>	<small>DocuSigned by:</small> 	<b>Date:</b> 1/21/2022   12:58:58 PM MST
-------------------	--	--

BF7A1C50B2AF4DE...

Project Area Map



## DNRC CARDD DOCUMENTATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION CHECKLIST

**Project Name:** Fort Shaw Canal Flow Monitoring Enhancement

**Brief Description:** FSID is proposing to install 2 new gaging stations within the District's supply and distribution system. The installation sites are one at the main headworks of the Fort Shaw main canal and one along Canal C. Installation requires offsite fabrication of the support structures and then installation of the structures at each site with the gages then affixed to them.

**Agreement Number:** IDG-22-0285

**Date:** 1/20/2022

**Preparer:** Ann L. Kulczyk

The Department of Natural Resources and Conservation action under 36.17.614, is excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS) if the application for department review is for any of the following projects:

**(a) Projects relating to existing infrastructure systems such as sewer and septic systems, drinking water supply systems, and stormwater systems, including combined sewer overflow systems, dams, culverts, headgates, canal lining, siphons, pipelines, pump sites, lift stations, irrigation infrastructure, that involve:**

*[Answer yes or no. If all answers "no", an EA or EIS must be completed. If any answer is yes, skip to (b).]*

1. No - Minor upgrading; or
2. No - Minor expansion of system capacity; or
3. No - Rehabilitation (including functional replacement) of the existing system and system components; or
4. Yes - Construction of new minor ancillary facilities adjacent to or on the same property as existing facilities; or
5. No - Projects in unsewered communities involving the replacement of existing on- site systems, provided that the new on-site systems do not result in substantial increases in the volume of discharges or in loadings of pollutants from existing sources, and do not relocate existing discharges; or
6. No - Use of sampling and monitoring wells to test for the presence of contaminants such as, but not limited to, metals and petroleum; or

7. No - Activities that do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as planning studies, scientific research and analysis, surveys, or engineering.

**(b) A categorical exclusion may NOT be granted for a department action if:**

*[Answer yes or no. If all answers "no", skip to (c). If any answer is yes, an EA or EIS must be completed.]*

1. No - The action would authorize facilities that will provide a new discharge or relocate an existing discharge to ground or surface waters;
2. No - The action would result in an increase above permit levels established for the facility under the Montana pollutant discharge elimination system or Montana ground water pollution control system for either volume of discharge or loading rate of pollutants to receiving waters;
3. No - The action would authorize facilities that will provide capacity to serve a population at least 30% greater than the existing population;
4. No - The action is not supported by the state, or other regional growth plan or strategy;
5. No - The action directly or indirectly involves or relates to upgrading or extending infrastructure systems primarily for the purposes of future development;
6. No - The department has received information indicating that public controversy exists over the project's potential effects on the quality of the human environment;
7. No - The department determines that the proposed project that is the subject of the state action shows some potential for causing a significant effect on the quality of the human environment, based on ARM 36.2.524, or might possibly affect:
  - (i) sensitive environmental or cultural resource areas; or
  - (ii) endangered or threatened species and their critical habitats.

**(c) If the proposed project meets the conditions above in determining use of a CATEX, the**

**reviewer will then complete items below as follows:**

*[Once all steps are complete, reviewer shall sign and date at bottom. If revocation becomes necessary, reviewer shall initiate an EA or EIS as appropriate.]*

1. Yes - Project meets the above Categorical Exclusion criteria.
2. Yes - DNRC determination of Categorical Exclusion.

3. Yes - DNRC distributes the Notice of Determination.
4. Yes - Notice of Publication and cover letter (containing revocation language below) is delivered to recipient.
5. NA - Notice of Publication published in local newspaper by recipient and evidence of publication provided to reviewer.

**(d) The department may revoke a categorical exclusion if:**

*[Only complete the steps below if revocation of a previously implemented CATEX becomes necessary.]*


1. Choose an item. - The project is not initiated within the time period specified in the facility plan, or a new or modified application is submitted;
2. Choose an item. - The proposed action no longer meets the requirements for a categorical exclusion because of changes in the proposed action;
3. Choose an item. - New evidence demonstrates that serious local or environmental issues exist; or
4. Choose an item. - State, local, tribal, or federal laws may be violated.

Ann Kulczyk

**DNRC CARD Division STATE PREPARER**

Demi Blythe – MEPA Coordinator

DocuSigned by:



1/21/2022 | 12:19:04 PM MST

**DNRC CARD Division STATE REVIEWER**

1/21/2022

**COMPLETION DATE**